

**UNPUBLISHED**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

---

**No. 15-2536**

---

TINA M. GRACE; LARRY GRACE,

Plaintiffs - Appellants,

v.

C. MICHAEL SPARKS; MICHAEL THORNSBURY,

Defendants - Appellees,

and

JAY LOCKARD, individually and in their (former) official capacity; THE WEST VIRGINIA SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS; STEVEN D. CANTERBURY, its administrator; THE MINGO COUNTY COMMISSION, together with its present (and former) commissioner(s) and in their (his) official capacity; GREG SMITH; JOHN MARK HUBBARD; DIANE HANNAH; DAVID L. BAISDEN; MINGO COUNTY BOARD OF EDUCATION,

Defendants.

---

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of West Virginia, at Charleston. John T. Copenhaver, Jr., District Judge. (2:15-cv-01505)

---

Submitted: August 31, 2016

Decided: September 9, 2016

---

Before GREGORY, Chief Judge, and WILKINSON and DUNCAN, Circuit Judges.

---

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

---

Richard A. Robb, South Charleston, West Virginia, for Appellants. Philip B. Sword, William R. Slicer, Michael D. Dunham, SHUMAN, MCCUSKEY & SLICER, PLLC, Charleston, West Virginia; Gary E. Pullin, Emily L. Lilly, PULLIN, FOWLER, FLANAGAN, BROWN & POE, PLLC, Charleston, West Virginia, for Appellees.

---

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

PER CURIAM:

Appellants Tina and Larry Grace appeal the district court's order denying relief on their 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (2012) complaint. Specifically, the Graces challenge the district court's dismissal of the claims against appellees C. Michael Sparks and Michael Thornsbury. We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the district court. Grace v. Sparks, No. 2:15-cv-01505 (S.D. W. Va. Nov. 19, 2015 & July 25, 2016); see Stump v. Sparkman, 435 U.S. 349, 356-57 (1978); Imbler v. Pachtman, 424 U.S. 409, 430-31 (1976). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before this court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

AFFIRMED